

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/918,152	07/30/2001	Desmond John Best	PC10947A	2963
. 7	590 12/10/2002			
Paul H. Ginsburg Pfizer Inc 20th Floor 235 East 42nd Street New York, NY 10017-5755			EXAMINER	
			BERCH, MARK L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1624))
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2002	((

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Amplication No.	Applicantic			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/918,152	BEST ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark L. Berch	1624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 N	lovember 2002 .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
· <u> </u>	ion of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 21-24</u> is/are pending in the	• •				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 21-24</u> is/are rejected.					
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	-				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
. • , 🗀	 · · ·					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/918,152

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-18, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The last line of claim 1 does not make sense. It provides for an optional step of forming a salt, but the claim is drawn to the preparation of a material of Formula II which cannot be a salt. Note the definition of R³.

Claims 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- $\sqrt{1}$. The term "3-cephem" is not correct in claim 22, as X can be O or methylene.
 - 2. Claim 23 has its period in the middle rather than the end of the claim.
 - 3. Claim 23 refers to a formula III, but that is not defined in the claim. Note that this is an independent claim.

Claims 1-18 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for most substituents, does not reasonably provide

Application/Control Number: 09/918,152 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

enablement for R_4 = OH. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The cyclizing process obviously involves displacement of the leaving group OH, so that these choices where R_4 is a leaving group OH are not enabled. This problem is clearly exacerbated in claims such as 14.

The traverse is unpersuasive. Applicants argue that such an OH group "would require protection." Indeed, but the claim as written calls for the cyclization of the unprotected starting material, not the protected starting material. Further, such a procedure would require protection of the OH groups which are part of R4 but not the OH groups which need to undergo the reaction. It is not seen how this can be done, since these are all essentially the same, hydroxy groups attached to an alkyl chain. The specification does not teach how to accomplish this.

Claims 1-18, 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The definition of R3 has been expanded. Originally, it covered only protecting groups which were "readily removable". Now it covers groups which are difficult to remove as well, which is beyond what the specification teaches.

Claim Objections

Claims 4-20 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiply dependent

Art Unit: 1624

claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Further, claims such as claim 8 fail to specify specifically which claims they depend on. Claim 11 also fails to depend solely in the alternative on other claims.

Claim 2 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 2 embraces the salt, which is not provided for in claim 1. Note the definition of R³ in claim 1.

Claim 13 is improperly dependent on claim 11, as it fails to further limit. As its wording has been placed into claim 11, it no longer has any function and should be canceled.

On the other hand, the amendment to claim 11 means that claim 15 is now improperly dependent on claim 12, since (IX) is not an organometallic reagent. Boron is not a metal. Likewise claims 16-17. As an example of an organometallic reagent, see claim 10.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/918,152

Art Unit: 1624

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark L. Berch whose telephone number is 703-308-4718. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:15 - 3:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 308-4716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 708-308-1235.

Mark L. Berch Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624 Page 5

December 4, 2002